

CLLS AI Committee 27 November 2024 – Actions

- Insurance and Professional Negligence sub-group
 - Please provide ideas and input to Dru, regarding proposed February event (All).
 - Circulate invitation [before Christmas] (Dru).
- AI Governance Survey
 - Final questions re-circulated with Committee (Ivan).
 - Survey Launch this side of Christmas (Ivan/Minesh).
- Education sub-group
 - Paper to be circulated for the AI Committee to review/sign off (Lucia).
 - Consider Law School Survey and share comments with Jeremy/Lucia (All).
 - Launch to law schools in the new year (including identifying those best placed for survey receipt) (Jeremy/Lucia).
 - Call with Jeremy and Kevin to be coordinated (Ivan).
- Vendor/client-side guidance
 - Schedule roundtable (Jeremy).
 - Law Firm / Client Survey progressed by January (Jeremy/Kerry).
- AI Regulation sub-group
 - Share tracker with Committee (Zofia).
 - Investigate fireside chat with regulator(s) (Zofia/sub-group).
- CLLS Bridges
 - Meet with respective Committees prior to next AI Committee meeting (All).
- AOB
 - Confirm to Ivan if your firm could host in March/ May/ July/ September/ November next year (All).

CLLS AI Committee 27 November 2024 Minutes

1. Welcome

With thanks to Covington for hosting.

Key focus for the coming months is to continue producing tangible outputs, including knowhow, guidance, the survey, possibly an event. We have four working groups and have had good discussions to date.

Will be January, and I'll follow up, for the next meeting.

2. Update from wider CLLS initiatives

Plenty of discourse, given Government change, interesting discussions in the regulatory space.

AI Regulation sub-group.

3. Insurance and Professional Negligence

Still a reactive market but leaning towards an open event geared towards CLLS members and how law firms use AI, relating to professional duties/negligence.

First week of February aiming to be the date for the event and launch from there.

Event to focus more on law firms, either roundtable or Chatham House. More professional negligence but also PI insurance.

Orbital – an AI product that comes with its own insurance, is an interesting angle.

Idea is that we'd then follow up with a briefing document and potentially some guidance.

Action: Please provide ideas/input to Dru. Invitation to circulate this side of Christmas.

4. Education Working Group (including university benchmarking)

Document in progress and ready to circulate soon.

The paper reflects on the new skills that lawyers should develop, but that this is a variable area, so focusing on practical skills. First, what are the gaps in lawyers' formal education and what we're practically requiring. Talking about technology as well as soft skills.

No need to be prescriptive at this stage, but more raising for awareness.

Action: Share paper with Committee for comments.

Law School Survey

AI Awareness; Lateral Skills Development; Interdepartmental Learning; and External Collaboration.

Question concerning undergraduate vs SQE+ providers. This is an open question presently, law schools are the ones initially needing the boost from this, but happy to widen the net. It

would be helpful to leverage our connections. Quid pro quo, as with the AI Governance Survey. Anonymise the results.

Action: All, please consider these and share comments with Jeremy/Lucia.

Action: Launch to law schools in the new year, need to identify those best placed to receive this survey.

Action: Call with Jeremy and Kevin, for follow up.

5. Vendor/Client-side guidance

More concrete guidance can indeed come from our Committee. We can be prescriptive here.

We would like to identify where client discussions are involving AI specifically – can they be brought into this? Plenty of cross-fertilisation. Will then discuss with vendors, and feed back to them.

Action: Step 1, to be approached in December/January. In parallel, look to get the roundtable in the diary (so progress 2 and 3), aligned with the Insurance/Professional Negligence event above.

6. AI Regulation

Initial meeting hosted in October, discussed outputs including events to organise. Presently, it would be too speculative. When a draft bill/consultation is released, it would be a better footing then to provide outputs.

Main current output, a tracker to monitor regulatory bodies / directions of travel / proposals. This can be circulated with Committee in due course.

Consultation in January does not give much timing over Christmas for people to contribute.

Law for AI, rather than AI for Law.

How do the SRA Principles apply to the use of AI in practice?

Action: Tracker to be shared with Committee.

Action: Investigate having a fireside chat with ICO/CMA regulator.

7. AI Governance Survey

Sign-off from CLLS, and it's online. Confirmation as to whether CLLS want before Christmas or in the New Year. Quid pro quo mechanism.

Action: Sharing final questions with Committee.

Action: Launch survey.

8. CLLS Bridges

Action: Reach out to other committees (or via Kevin) if you are in a bridge role.

9. CLLS Volunteers (Pro Bono and MOJ)

10. AOB

TLS responded to development of industrial strategy. Professional services and law in particular identified as a high growth area. AI has been a growth driver for our industry. Press Release to be circulated with the Committee.

[Turing Institute](#) paper indirect prompt injection – worth a read.

Agenda for January will be shared in the New Year.

Action: Please email Ivan if you're willing and able to host meetings next year.